## Abstract

**Introduction:** Postpartum depression is a common mental health problem that is associated with maternal suffering and numerous negative consequences for offspring [1]. It has been reported that 10–15% of women suffer postpartum depression following childbirth [2]. Postpartum depression can harm the mother and child relationship, which in turn negatively impacts children in terms of nutrition and care, as well as physical and mental development [3]. Self-esteem, childcare stress, life stress, social support, marital relationship, infant temperament, marital status, socioeconomic status, unwanted pregnancy, previous psychiatric disorder, method of childbirth, previous abortion and biological changes are related to postpartum depression [2]. Etiologies of mood balance, depressive disorders in particular, are not completely understood. However, substantial evidence has accrued that serotonergic systems play a central role [4–7]. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the first line of pharmacotherapy in postpartum depression. Despite beneficial effects of SSRIs on depressive symptoms in postpartum depression, rate of remission remains low and risk of relapse and recurrence remains high [8–13]. Furthermore, most of these antidepressant agents produce several adverse reactions, such as anticholinergic effects, orthostatic hypotension, arrhythmias and sexual dysfunction [14, 15]. Thus there is need for more effective and less toxic agents. Plant extracts are some of the most attractive sources of new drugs and have shown promising results for treatment of depression [16, 17]. Saffron is produced from the tiny, dried lily-like Crocus sativus blossom [18]. In Asian medicine, and particularly in Persian tradition.
tional medicine, it is used to treat menstrual disorder, difficult labor, inflammation, vomiting and diseases affecting the throat [18–20]. Several controlled studies have shown beneficial effects of *Crocus sativus* on depression, premenstrual symptoms and Alzheimer’s disease [19,21–26]. Our objective was to compare the efficacy of *Crocus sativus* (stigma) with fluoxetine in treatment of mild to moderate postpartum depression in a 6-week, double-blind, randomized clinical trial.

**Method**

** Trial design **
A 6-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group clinical trial was conducted in the outpatient clinics of Yas Women General Hospital, Arash and Baharloo Hospitals (all affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran) between September 2015 and December 2015. The trial protocol was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of Tehran University of Medical Science (Grant No: 23220) and conducted consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki and subsequent revisions. The trial was registered at the Iranian registry of clinical trials (www.irct.ir; registration number: IRCT201509201556N82) prior to the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all eligible participants and/or their legally authorized representatives. Patients were informed that they were free to withdraw from the trial at any time without any adverse effect on their relationship with their health care provider and their therapy.

** Participants **
Women between 18–45 years of age, with a diagnosis of postpartum depression based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) criteria were eligible to participate in the trial (4–12 weeks after childbirth). Patients were required to have mild to moderate postpartum depression at time of randomization, having a score ≥10 and ≤18 in the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) [27, 28]. Exclusion criteria included: women with psychotic depression, history of suicidal or infanticidal thoughts, a history of bipolar disorder, substance or alcohol dependence (with the exception of nicotine dependence), lactation, hypothyroidism and acute medical illness. Patients suffering from any diagnosis other than postpartum depression on the DSM-IV-TR axis I were also excluded.

** Interventions **
Patients underwent a standard clinical assessment comprising a psychiatric evaluation, a structured diagnostic interview and medical history. Eligible participants were randomized to receive either a capsule of saffron (SaffroMood®, IMPIRAN, containing 15 mg of saffron extract) twice daily or a fluoxetine capsule (Abidi, Iran, 20 mg capsule; each capsule had 1.65–1.75 mg crocin) twice daily for 6 weeks. Participants were not allowed to use any psychotropic drug or receive any behavioral intervention therapy during the course of the trial.

** Outcome **
All participants were assessed using HDRS score at baseline and at weeks 1, 3 and 6. The HDRS contains 17 questions (on a 3-point or 5-point scale) that assess severity of depressive symptoms. This scale has been applied in many clinical trials in Iran exploring depression therapeutic efficacy [27–32]. The primary outcome measure of this trial was to evaluate efficacy of saffron compared to fluoxetine in improving depressive symptoms in postpartum individuals using general linear model repeated measures. The 2 groups were also compared regarding improvement in HDRS scores from baseline HDRS score at each time point, partial responders (25–50% reduction in the HDRS score), responders (≥50% reduction in HDRS score), remitters (HDRS score ≤7) and the time needed to respond to treatment. Response rate (≥50% decrease in the HDRS score) and remission rate (HDRS score ≤7) were compared between 2 groups.

In terms of adverse events, a 25-item checklist was provided to systematically record the adverse events during the course of the trial. All participants were asked about any adverse event that was not mentioned in the checklist. Participants were also asked to immediately inform the research team about any unexpected symptom during the study period.

** Sample size estimation **
Assuming a mean difference of 3 on the HDRS score between the saffron and the fluoxetine groups, with a standard deviation (SD) of 3 on HDRS score, a power of 95% and a 2-tailed significance level of 0.05, 27 patients were needed in each group. Moreover, after assuming 25% attrition rate, 34 patients were needed in each group.

** Randomization, allocation concealment and blinding **
An independent party, who was not involved elsewhere in the trial, randomized codes by permuted randomization block (blocks of 4, allocation ratio 1:1). Concealment of allocation was performed using sequentially numbered, sealed opaque envelopes. An aluminum foil inside the envelopes kept them impermeable to intense light. Study participant, research investigator and the rater were all blind to the treatment allocation. Saffron and fluoxetine capsules were indistinguishable in their shape, size, texture, color and odor.

** Statistical analyses **
Frequency of categorical variables and mean ±SD of continuous variables are reported. General linear model repeated measure was used to compare HDRS scores between the saffron and the fluoxetine groups. Whenever Mauchly’s test of sphericity was significant, Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment was used for degrees of freedom. Independent *t*-test was used to compare mean of continuous variables between treatment groups. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square test and Fisher's exact test where appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package of Social Science Software (SPSS version 20, IBM Company, USA).

** Results **
Among 94 women who were screened for the eligibility criteria, 68 women were entered into the trial and randomized to receive either saffron (*n* = 34) or fluoxetine (*n* = 34). 4 women were excluded from the trial due to their shift to moderate to severe depression, and a total number of 64 patients (32 patients in each group) completed the trial (*Fig. 1*). Baseline characteristics of the study participants were not significantly different between the treatment groups (*Table 1*).
Outcomes

HDRS score
Baseline HDRS scores were not significantly different between the saffron and the fluoxetine groups (16.53 ± 1.48 vs. 16.65 ± 1.12, respectively, [MD (95% CI) = −0.12 (−0.78 to 0.53), t (57.84) = −0.38, p = 0.70]). General linear model repeated measures demonstrated insignificant effect for time × treatment interaction on HDRS score [F (4.90, 292.50) = 1.04, p = 0.37] (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference between the 2 groups in terms of reduction in HDRS score from baseline to each time, for partial responders, responders and remitters (Table 2). Remission rates were almost equal between 2 groups as Table 2 shows 6 (18.8%) patients in the saffron group and 7 (21.9%) in the fluoxetine group reached a HDRS ≤ 7 by the end of this trial (p = 1.00). 13 (40.60%) patients in the saffron group experienced complete response (≥ 50% reduction in HDRS score) compared with 16 (50%) in the fluoxetine group, and the difference between the 2 groups was not significant in this regard (p = 0.61). Partial response rates were not significantly different between the 2 groups as well; all 32 patients in the both group who completed the trial show ≥ 25% reduction in HDRS score by week 6 (p = 1.00).

Side effects
The frequencies of adverse events observed during the trial are summarized in Table 3. Patients in the fluoxetine group experienced more headache, dry mouth, daytime drowsiness, constipation and sweating than the saffron group. However, frequencies of adverse events were not significantly different between the 2 groups. No major adverse event and no death occurred.

Discussion
A postpartum depression takes a great toll on women and negatively affects social interaction and infant development [3]. Mood control, especially the etiology of depressive disorders, is not completely understood. However, substantial evidence has accumulated that serotonergic systems play a central role [4–7]. Saffron is used as an antispasmodic, euppeptic, gingival, sedative, antacitarrhal, nerve sedative, carminative, diaphoretic, expectorant, stimulant, stomachic, aphrodisiac and antidepressant [18]. The search for new and more effective therapeutic agents includes the study of plants used in traditional medicine to treat mental disorders [13]. Saffron is used for the treatment of depression in Persian traditional medicine [22]. Corcin and safranal, 2 major components of saffron, inhibit reuptake of dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin [19]. This study was carried out to compare the antidepressant effect of saffron with fluoxetine in mild to moderate postpartum depression. The results of this study emphasize saffron is a safe alternative and as effective as fluoxetine in improving symptoms of depression. In this double-blind, randomized clinical trial, Crocus sativus was found to be effective almost like fluoxetine. Our findings are in line with recently published studies that show Crocus sativus antidepressant effect [19, 21–24, 33]. A trial that compared the petal of Crocus sativus and fluoxetine in the treatment of depressed patients showed that the petal of Crocus sativus is as effective as fluoxetine [21]. Another clinical trial demonstrated that saffron is as effective as imipramine in treatment of mild to moderate depression. Also, in the imipramine group, anticholinogyn.
Table 2  Comparison of score changes between the 2 groups and response to treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HDRS score</th>
<th>Saffron group (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Fluoxetine group (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Mean difference Saffron – fluoxetine (95 % CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction from baseline to week 1</td>
<td>2.03 ± 1.35</td>
<td>1.62 ± 1.53</td>
<td>0.40 (−0.31 to −1.31)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline to week 3</td>
<td>4.22 ± 2.09</td>
<td>4.53 ± 1.90</td>
<td>−0.31 (−1.31 to 0.68)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline to week 6</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.97</td>
<td>7.71 ± 1.69</td>
<td>−0.22 (−1.13 to 0.69)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcome           | Saffron group (n = 32) | Fluoxetine group (n = 32) | Odds ratio | P-value |
| Number (%) of partial responders at week 3      | 15 (49.6 %)              | 18 (56.2 %)                 | 0.68       | 0.61    |
| Number (%) of partial responders at week 6      | 32 (100 %)               | 32 (100 %)                  | –          | 1.00    |
| Number (%) of responders at week 3              | 2 (6.2 %)                | 2 (6.2 %)                   | –          | 1.00    |
| Number (%) of responders at week 6              | 13 (40.60 %)             | 16 (50 %)                   | 0.68       | 0.61    |
| Number (%) of remitters at week 6               | 6 (18.8 %)               | 7 (21.9 %)                  | 0.82       | 1.00    |

Table 3  Frequency of adverse events in the 2 study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saffron (n = 32)</th>
<th>Saffron (n = 32)</th>
<th>Saffron (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1 (3.1 %)</td>
<td>5 (15.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth</td>
<td>2 (6.2 %)</td>
<td>4 (12.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>4 (12.5 %)</td>
<td>4 (12.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime drowsiness</td>
<td>1 (3.1 %)</td>
<td>4 (12.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>2 (6.2 %)</td>
<td>4 (12.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>1 (3.1 %)</td>
<td>3 (9.4 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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